

1 Patricia C. Barbera
2 24 Caribe Isle,
3 Novato, Ca. 94949
4 Tele: (415) 382-9617
5 Fax: (415) 382-0756

6
7
8 In Pro Per

FILED

JUN 24 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

9
10
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION**

13 PATRICIA C. BARBERA,

14 Plaintiff,
15 v

CASE NO. CV 08-2677 SBA
[Vacate and Remand to Superior Court of
California, County of Marin,
Case No. CV 081763]

16 WMC MORTGAGE CORPORATION

17 Defendant,

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION OF
PLAINTIFF PATRICIA C.
BARBERA TO SHORTEN THE
TIME OF THE HEARING TO
DETER FRAUDULENT
ACTIONS.

18 CAL LAND TITLE COMPANY OF MARIN,

19 Defendant,

20 SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC

21 Defendant.
22
23
24
25
26
27

BY FAX

28 Administrative Motion to Shorten the Time of the Hearing to Deter Fraudulent Actions. 1

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3, Plaintiff Patricia C. Barbera submits this
2 Administrative Motion to Shorten the Time of the Hearing to Avert Any More Fraudulent
3 Actions.

4 This Administrative Motion is submitted on the grounds that good cause exists for this
5 Court to shorten the time to avert any more fraudulent actions.

6 Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the instant
7 Administrative Motion. This Motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and
8 Authorities, the Declaration of Patricia C. Barbera, and the Proposed Order filed and lodged
9 concurrently herewith.

10 INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

11 On 5/28/08 REEDSMITH filed a Notice of Removal from the Superior Court of Marin
12 County. On that date they knew that their client, WMC MORTGAGE *had not answered their*
13 *summons, and were in default.* They also knew that they had *waived their right to litigate in*
14 *this case.* Nevertheless, they issued voluminous documents, pleadings and filings which were
15 replete with *Contempt of Court, Perjury, and Conspiracy* violations.

16 They obtained the approval of Select Portfolio Servicing (represented by WRIGHT,
17 FINLAY & ZAK) and CAL LAND TITLE COMPANY OF MARIN (represented by THE LAW
18 OFFICES OF DANIEL A. GAMER) into joining and issuing tort documents.

19 In the second page of their Notice of Removal of Action, Christopher Rivas and Scott
20 Jacobs assure and lull this Court that the removal is proper:

21 "1. REMOVAL IS PROPER BECAUSE THIS COURT HAS SUBJECT
22 JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1331 AND § 1441"

23 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

24 A. Procedural and Factual Background.

25 As described more fully in Plaintiffs Notice of Wrongful Removal and Motion for
26 Remand and Vacate, filed in this Court on June 13, 2008, Defendants have, and continue
27 to commit multiple criminal acts against this Court and the Plaintiff.

28 Administrative Motion to Shorten the Time of the Hearing to Deter Fraudulent Actions.

2

1 Plaintiff contacted Christopher Rivas by fax and Glenn Kabanuck by phone and
2 received negative responses from both.

3 As a result, Plaintiff has no choice but to file this Administrative Motion to Shorten the
4 Time of the Hearing to Deter Any More Fraudulent Actions.

5 **B. LEGAL ANALYSIS**

6 Civil Local Rule 6-3 authorizes this Court to enlarge or shorten time upon the noticed
7 motion of any of the parties, so long as the Motion:

8 “(1) Sets forth with particularity, the reasons for the requested enlargement or shortening
9 of time;

10 (2) Describes the efforts the party has made to obtain a stipulation to the time change;

11 (3) Identifies the substantial harm or prejudice that would occur if the Court did not
12 change the time; and

13 * * *

14 (5) Discloses all previous time modifications in the case, whether by stipulation or Court
15 order;

16 (6) Describes the effect the requested time modification would have on the schedule for
17 the case.”

18 *See Civ. L.R. 6-3*

19 Pursuant to the above-referenced requirements in the Civil Local Rule 6-3, Plaintiff
20 states that: (1) That She requires a shorter time for the hearing to deter any fraudulent actions;
21 (2) Plaintiff contacted Christopher Rivas by fax and Glenn Kabanuck by phone and received
22 negative responses from both; (3) without such shortening, Plaintiff will suffer substantial harm
23 and prejudice, on the basis that decisions and orders will occur by an unknowing Court. Plaintiff
24 also notes that the shortening will give the Court a view of the case at the earliest time, and
25 benefit the Public at large.
26
27

28 Administrative Motion to Shorten the Time of the Hearing to Deter Fraudulent Actions.

3

1 Further, under Civil Local Rule 6-3, Plaintiff states that: (5) Defendants obtained a Court
2 Order for an extension of time on 06/11/08; (6) the requested shortening will not affect any
3 scheduled hearings, motions or deadlines in this case.

4 **C. CONCLUSION**

5 For the reasons stated above, Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court grant Plaintiff
6 the instant Administrative motion.

7
8 DATED JUNE 23, 2008

Patricia C. Barbera

PATRICIA C. BARBERA

COPY

1 Patricia C. Barbera
 2 24 Caribe Isle,
 3 Novato, Ca. 94949
 4 Tele: (415) 382-9617
 5 Fax: (415) 382-0756

6
 7 In Pro Per

RECEIVED

JUN 24 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

10
 11 PATRICIA C. BARBERA,

12 Plaintiff,
 13 v

14 WMC MORTGAGE CORPORATION

15 Defendant,
 16

17 CAL LAND TITLE COMPANY OF MARIN,

18 Defendant,

19 SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC

20 Defendant.
 21 _____/

CASE NO. CV 08-2677 SBA

[Vacate and Remand to Superior Court of
 California, County of Marin,
 Case No. CV 081763]

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION OF
 PLAINTIFF PATRICIA C.
 BARBERA TO SHORTEN THE
 TIME OF THE HEARING TO
 DETER FRAUDULENT
 ACTIONS.

BY FAX

22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27 [Proposed] Order On Administrative Motion to Shorten the Time of the Hearing to Deter
 28 Fraudulent Actions.

1 The Administrative Motion of Plaintiff to Shorten Time, and its supporting documents,
2 including the Declaration of Patricia Barbera, have been duly considered by the Court and good
3 cause appearing therefore,

4
5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Plaintiff is granted the Instant Administrative
6 Motion.

7
8 DATED: _____

9 The Honorable Sandra Brown Armstrong
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

[Proposed] Order On Administrative Motion to Shorten the Time of the Hearing to Deter
28 Fraudulent Actions.